

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/005,698	12/07/2001	Ming Nien	7644		
75	590 09/13/2002				
Ming NIEN			EXAMINER		
PO Box 82-144 TAIPEI, TAIWAN			PUROL, DAVID M		
IAIWAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634	<u> </u>	
		DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ψ <sup>3</sup> ,		Application No. 10/005,698	Applicant(s)	Ming Nier	, P			
30	Office Action Summary	Examiner  David Puro		Art Unit 3634				
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the corres	pondence addres	s			
Period for Reply								
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3	MONTH	I(S) FROM				
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a rep	y be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS	from the			
- If the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t							
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t				etion.			
•	pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if tim	ely filed, may re	duce any				
Status								
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 7, 20</u>	001			· ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL.</b> 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>		is/are	pending in the a	application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn froi	n consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>			is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected t	0.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subje	ct to restric	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.			
Application Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\square$ accepted or $\mathfrak t$	o) Objecte	ed to by the Exar	niner.			
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)∐	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	riority under 25 H.C.	C 5 110/a	(d) or (f)				
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:								
_								
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15)	15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm	•							
1) 💢 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (I	PTO-413) Paper	No(s)				

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/005,698

Art Unit: 3634

1. Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

These claims recite the following language which appears to contain idiomatic errors:

claim 2, line 2 "structure is provided to a";

claim 4, line 2 "indicates an approximately triangle";

claim 5, lines 2-4 recites an incomplete statement;

claim 6, line 2 "is provided on a".

There is no antecedent basis for the following:

claim 1, line 1 "the lift cord";

claim 5, line 2 "the upper part";

claim 5, lines 3-4 "the head rail".

These claims are further indefinite for it is not known if applicant is claiming the subcombination of the blind lift cord locking structure per se or in combination with a venetian blind. While the preamble of claim 1 sets forth that the claims are drawn to the blind lift cord locking structure per se, claim 2 states that the structure is provided to a head rail of a venetian blind and thereby implies that the claims are drawn to the combination of the blind lift cord locking structure and the venetian blind. Similarly for claim 6. Elements of an invention to which it is necessary to refer in order to define other elements of the invention are to be positively included in the claims.

The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and

Application/Control Number: 10/005,698 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-6 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hyman et al.

3. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Rathbun, Knight, Langhart et al, May.

David M. Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP September 9, 2002 (703) 308-2168